1	CHAVEZ & GERTLER LLP		
2	JONATHAN E. GERTLER (Bar No. 111531) jon@chavezgertler.com TOSEDILD JOEL MUND (Bar No. 52403)		
3	JOSEPH R. IGELMUND (Bar No. 52493) joe@chavezgertler.com		
4	42 Miller Avenue Mill Valley, CA 94941		
5	Tel: (415) 381-5599 Fax: (415) 381-5572		
	BROWNSTEIN & THOMAS, LLP		
6	MARK C. THOMAS (Bar No. 215580) mark@brownsteinthomas.com		
7	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 876 San Francisco, CA 94104		
8	Tel: (415) 951-4881 Fax: (415) 951-4885		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff SHILOH HOOD		
10	And the Proposed Plaintiff Class		
11	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP		
12	LEE T. PATERSON (Bar No. 81004) lpaterso@winston.com	0.70	
	AMANDA C. SOMMERFELD (Bar No. 1850 asommerf@winston.com	052)	
13	333 South Grand Avenue, 38th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071		
14	Tel: (213) 615-1700 Fax: (213) 615-1750		
15	Attorneys for Defendant THE TERMINIX INTERNATIONAL COMPANY LP		
16			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
19			
20	SHILOH HOOD, an individual,	Case No.: C 06-00024 SBA	
21	Sincon more an individual,		
	Plaintiff,	The Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong	
22 23	vs.	JOINT STIPULATION AND REQUEST TO CONTINUE MEDIATION	
	THE TERMINIX INTERNATIONAL	DEADLINES; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
24	COMPANY, L.P., a Delaware limited	CMC Date: October 18, 2006 CMC Time: 2:30 p.m.	
25	partnership; and DOES 1 to 20,)	
26	Defendants	Removal Date: January 5, 2006	
27			
28			

The parties hereby jointly stipulate and request that this Court grant a continuance of the mediation deadline by approximately 90 days. The parties were ordered to mediate this matter by the Court, and given a mediation deadline of September 29, 2006 and a Status Conference date of October 18, 2006. Judith Droz Keyes was duly appointed mediator in the instant action by this Court, and the parties have diligently undertaken to prepare this case for mediation under the advice and approval of the mediator, but the deadline cannot practicably be met.

The parties have exchanged correspondence, and conducted meet-and-confer communications outlining the information necessary to engage in a meaningful mediation. The parties have commenced informal exchange of information and are in the process of attempting to negotiate the contents of a questionnaire to be sent to a sampling of class members in order to obtain additional information for the purposes of mediation.

One of the reasons the initial mediation date cannot be met is that extensive information must be produced to facilitate successful negotiations. Some delay also has resulted from the development that a related action entitled *Roy v. Terminix*, was filed in San Bernardino County California, Superior Court Action No. SCVSS140622, and Terminix requested that the plaintiff and counsel in that matter be requested to participate in the mediation in this matter to determine the feasibility of the global resolution of all pending claims. Plaintiff's counsel has been advised that Michael Langford, counsel in the *Roy v. Terminix* matter, has suffered the death of his father and is on brief leave from his practice, but that Mr. Langford and his client are agreeable to participating in the ADR preparations and proceedings in the instant action upon his return.

Based on the foregoing, the parties have determined, with the mediator's agreement, that it is not feasible to undertake all the activities necessary to engage in a meaningful mediation and to include the participation of plaintiff and counsel in the *Roy* matter within the time constraints of this Court's prior Order setting a September 29, 2006 mediation deadline. The parties hereby request that this Court continue the currently scheduled

1	mediation deadline for approximately 90 days. It appears that the parties can engage in		
2	meaningful mediation by the end of December, 2006.		
3			
4	Dated: September 12, 2006 BROWNSTEIN & THOMAS, LLP CHAVEZ & GERTLER LLP		
5	Xouthan & H. H.		
6	Ву:		
7	Jonathan E. Gertler		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff SHILOH HOOD		
9	And the Proposed Plaintiff Class		
10	Dated, Santanila, 13, 2006		
11	Dated: September 13, 2006 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP		
12			
13	By: Amarica C Sommer Cold		
14	Attamava for Defendant		
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant THE TERMINIX INTERNATIONAL COMPANY, L.P.		
17			
18	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
19	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, and good cause appearing,		
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
22			
23	Dated: September 18, 2006 Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong		
24	United States Magistrate Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28	06.09.12.Stip.Order.Cont.Mcdiation Date		
	CASE NO. C 06 00024SBA JOINT STIPLE ATION AND REQUEST TO CONTINUE		
	CASE NO. C 06 00024SBA JOINT STIPULATION AND REQUEST TO CONTINU MEDIATION DEADLINES; [PROPOSED] ORDE		